



November 4, 2016

Media Contact: Prof. Spencer Kimball

Emerson College Polling Advisor

Spencer\_Kimball@emerson.edu

617-824-8737

**Emerson College Poll: Iowa Leaning For Trump 44% to 41%.  
Grassley, Coasting to a Blowout, Likely to Retain Senate Seat.**

BOSTON, MA – In the state where it all started last February 1—at the Iowa Caucuses—Donald Trump and Hillary Clinton are still battling to win Iowa’s 6 electoral college votes. In the latest Emerson College poll, Trump leads Clinton 44% to 41%, with Gary Johnson at 5%, Jill Stein at 4% and 5% of voters still undecided.

Voter sentiment has barely budged since an Emerson poll in early September found Trump leading by 5 points, 44% to 39%. Clinton’s 2-point gain since then has come from a reshuffling of Stein and Johnson supporters and Undecided voters.

Trump and Clinton are closely splitting the Independent vote, with Clinton winning 40% to Trump’s 37%. The gender gap is also very modest. Men prefer Trump over Clinton, 45% to 41%, while women favor Clinton 44% to 42%. However, voters’ opinion of Trump is more positive. He has a net -4 favorability rating compared to Clinton’s net -28.

The U.S. Senate race between GOP incumbent Chuck Grassley and former Lieutenant Governor Patty Judge is all but over, with Grassley leading by 28 points, 60% to 32%. This is a dramatic improvement from the 51% to 40% advantage Grassley held in an Emerson poll published on September 2.

Grassley, who is Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, was seen as potentially vulnerable due to the GOP-controlled Senate’s refusal to act on the Supreme Court nomination of Merrick Garland. However, that situation hasn’t dented his popularity with Iowa voters. His favorable/unfavorable ratio is 55% to 37%, a net of +18, while Judge’s numbers are 28% to 55% or net -27.

**CALLER ID**

The Emerson College poll for Iowa was conducted November 1-3 under the Supervision of Professor Spencer Kimball. The sample consisted of only likely general election voters, n=700, with a margin of error (MOE) of +/- 3.6 percentage points. The Iowa data was weighted by 2012 election results, party affiliation, age, and district. It is important to remember that subsets based on gender, age and party breakdowns carry with them higher margins of error, as the sample size is reduced. Data was collected using an Interactive Voice Response (IVR) system of landlines only. The full methodology and results can be found at [www.theecps.com](http://www.theecps.com).



# Texas Frequency Table

## Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democrat	217	31.0	31.0	31.0
	Republican	273	39.0	39.0	70.0
	Independent	210	30.0	30.0	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

## Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	male	350	50.0	50.0	50.0
	female	350	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

## 2012 Ballot

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Barack Obama	287	41.0	41.0	41.0
	Mitt Romney	399	57.0	57.0	98.0
	Someone else	14	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

## 2016 primary

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sanders	104	14.9	14.9	14.9
	Clinton	168	24.1	24.1	39.0
	Cruz	139	19.9	19.9	58.8
	Trump	163	23.3	23.3	82.1
	Kasich	30	4.3	4.3	86.5
	Rubio	33	4.7	4.7	91.2
	Other	60	8.6	8.6	99.8
	No vote	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

**Clinton Name Rec**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	favorable	221	31.5	31.5	31.5
	unfavorable	460	65.8	65.8	97.3
	undecided	18	2.6	2.6	99.9
	never heard of	1	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

**Trump Name Rec**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	favorable	311	44.4	44.4	44.4
	unfavorable	352	50.2	50.2	94.6
	undecided	36	5.2	5.2	99.8
	never heard of	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

**Presidential Ballot**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Hillary Clinton	242	34.5	34.5	34.5
	Donald Trump	344	49.2	49.2	83.7
	Gary Johnson	34	4.9	4.9	88.6
	Jill Stein	28	4.0	4.0	92.5
	Unsure	52	7.5	7.5	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

**Presidential Expectation**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Trump	363	51.8	51.8	51.8
	Clinton	263	37.6	37.6	89.4
	Johnson	9	1.3	1.3	90.7
	Stein	10	1.4	1.4	92.2
	Unsure	55	7.8	7.8	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

**Age**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-34	161	23.0	23.0	23.0
	35-54	259	37.0	37.0	60.0
	55-74	210	30.0	30.0	90.0
	75+	70	10.0	10.0	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

**Race**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	white	424	60.6	60.6	60.6
	black	102	14.5	14.5	75.2
	American Indian	15	2.1	2.1	77.3
	Asian	14	2.0	2.0	79.3
	Hawaiian	1	.2	.2	79.5
	Hispanic	144	20.5	20.5	100.0
	Total	700	100.0	100.0	

**Education**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	<HS	93	13.3	13.4	13.4
	HS	68	9.8	9.8	23.2
	Some College	144	20.6	20.7	43.9
	Associate	61	8.7	8.7	52.6
	Bachelor	189	27.0	27.2	79.8
	Post Grad	140	20.0	20.1	99.9
	Refused	1	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	696	99.5	100.0	
Missing	System	4	.5		
Total		700	100.0		

# Utah Frequency Table

## Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democrat	110	11.0	11.0	11.0
	Republican	530	53.0	53.0	64.0
	Independent	360	36.0	36.0	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

## Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	male	480	48.0	48.0	48.0
	female	520	52.0	52.0	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

## 2012 Ballot

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Barack Obama	250	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Mitt Romney	730	73.0	73.0	98.0
	Someone else	20	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

## 2016 primary

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sanders	125	12.5	12.5	12.5
	Clinton	127	12.7	12.7	25.2
	Cruz	216	21.6	21.6	46.8
	Trump	217	21.7	21.7	68.5
	Kasich	140	14.0	14.0	82.5
	Rubio	63	6.3	6.3	88.8
	Other	100	10.0	10.0	98.8
	No vote	12	1.2	1.2	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

**Clinton Name Rec**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	favorable	214	21.4	21.4	21.4
	unfavorable	773	77.3	77.3	98.8
	undecided	12	1.2	1.2	100.0
	never heard of		.0	.0	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

**Trump Name Rec**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	favorable	306	30.6	30.6	30.6
	unfavorable	642	64.2	64.2	94.8
	undecided	52	5.2	5.2	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

**Presidential Ballot**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	McMullin	276	27.6	27.6	27.6
	Clinton	196	19.6	19.6	47.2
	Trump	398	39.8	39.8	86.9
	Johnson	30	3.0	3.0	89.9
	Stein	22	2.2	2.2	92.1
	Unsure	79	7.9	7.9	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

**Age**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-34	230	23.0	23.0	23.0
	35-54	390	39.0	39.0	62.0
	55-74	290	29.0	29.0	91.0
	75+	90	9.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	

**Education**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	>HS	33	3.3	3.3	3.3
	HS	74	7.4	7.5	10.8
	Some College	223	22.3	22.4	33.2
	Associate	108	10.8	10.9	44.1
	Bachelor	331	33.1	33.3	77.4
	Graduate	225	22.5	22.6	100.0
	Total	994	99.4	100.0	
Missing	System	6	.6		
Total		1000	100.0		

**Race**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	white	840	84.0	84.8	84.8
	black	44	4.4	4.5	89.2
	American Indian	11	1.1	1.1	90.3
	Asian	19	1.9	1.9	92.2
	Hawaiian	2	.2	.2	92.4
	Hispanic	32	3.2	3.2	95.7
	2+/Other	34	3.4	3.4	99.1
	refused	9	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	991	99.1	100.0	
Missing	System	9	.9		
Total		1000	100.0		

**USC District**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	276	27.6	27.6	27.6
	2.00	215	21.5	21.5	49.2
	3.00	291	29.1	29.1	78.2
	4.00	218	21.8	21.8	100.0
	Total	1000	100.0	100.0	
Missing	System		.0		
Total		1000	100.0		